```
Daniel M. Feinberg– CA State Bar No. 135983
    dfeinberg@lewisfeinberg.com
   Cassie Springer-Sullivan – CA State Bar No. 221506
   cssullivan@lewisfeinberg.com
  Margaret E. Hasselman – CA State Bar No. 228529
    mhasselman@lewisfeinberg.com
  LEWIS, FEINBERG, RENAKER & JACKSON, P.C.
   1330 Broadway, Suite 1800
  Oakland, CA 94612
   Telephone: (510) 839-6824
  Facsimile: (510) 839-7839
  Attorneys for Plaintiff
 7
   (ADDITIONAL COUNSEL LISTED BELOW)
 8
 9
                       IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
10
                    FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
11
12
   DAVID CAPLAN,
13
                      Plaintiff,
                                               Case No. C06-5865 CW
14
                                               STIPULATION AND (PROPOSED)
         VS.
15
                                               ORDER TO PERMIT PLAINTIFF TO
   CNA INTEGRATED DISABILITY
                                               FILE AN AMENDED COMPLAINT
  PROGRAM PLAN; THE HARTFORD
                                               AND TO CONTINUE DATES
   FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.; and
   CONTINENTAL ASSURANCE COMPANY.
17
18
                      Defendants.
19
20
21
   ADDITIONAL COUNSEL:
22
  SEDGWICK, DETERT, MORAN & ARNOLD LLP
   Bruce D. Celebrezze – CA State Bar No. 102181
    bruce.celebrezze@sdma.com
24
   Dennis G. Rolstad – CA State Bar No. 150006
25
    dennis.rolstad@sdma.com
   Michelle Y. McIsaac - CA State Bar No. 215294
26
    michelle.mcisaac@sdma.com
   One Market Plaza
  Steuart Tower, 8th Floor
27
28
   STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO PERMIT PLAINTIFF TO FILE AN AMENDED COMPLAINT
                            (Case No. 06-05865 CW)
   AND TO CONTINUE DATES
                                                                              Page 1
```

	San Francisco, California 94105 Telephone: (415) 781-7900 Facsimile: (415) 781-2635							
	Attorneys for Defendant							
4	Hartford Financial Services Group, Inc.							
5	GALTON & HELM LLP Daniel W. Maguire – CA State Bar No. 120002 dmaguire@galtonhelm.com Michael B. Bernacchi – CA State Bar No. 163657 mbernacchi@galtonhelm.com 500 South Grand Avenue, Suite 1200 Los Angeles, California 90071-2624 Telephone: (213) 629-8800 Facsimile: (213) 629-0037  Attorneys for Defendants CNA Integrated Disability Program Plan and							
6								
7								
8								
9								
10								
11	Continental Assurance Company							
12	//							
13	//							
14	WHEREAS Plaintiff filed his complaint on September 22, 2006;							
15	WHEREAS the Court issued	a scheduling order as follows:						
16	Date	Event						
17	December 1, 2006	Meet and Confer re: initial disclosures, early settlement,						
18		ADR process selection, and discovery plan						
19	December 1, 2006	File ADR Certification signed by Parties and Counsel						
20	December 1, 2006	File either Stipulation to ADR Process or Notice of Need						
21		for ADR Phone Conference						
22	December 15, 2006	Last day to complete initial disclosures or state objection in						
23		Rule 26(f) Report, file Case Management Statement, and						
24		file Rule 26(f) Report						
25	December 22, 2006	Initial Case Management Conference in Courtroom 2,						
26		Oakland at 1:30 PM;						
27	WHEREAS on October 17, 2	2006, Plaintiff and Defendant Hartford Financial Services						
28								
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO PERMIT PLAINTIFF TO FILE AN AMENDED COMPLAINT AND TO CONTINUE DATES (Case No. 06-05865 CW) PAGE 2							
	TAGE 2							

1	Group ("Hartford") stipulated that all defendants would have an extension until November 2,					
2	2006 to file a responsive pleading;					
3	WHEREAS on November 2, 2006, Plaintiff and Defendant Hartford stipulated that					
4	Defendants CNA Integrated Disability Program Plan ("the Plan") and Continental Assurance					
5	Company ("Continental") would have a further extension until November 17, 2006 to file a					
6	responsive pleading;					
7	WHEREAS on November 14, 2006, Plaintiff and Defendants the Plan and Continental					
8	stipulated that the Plan and Continental would have a further extension until November 27, 2006					
9	to file a responsive pleading;					
10	WHEREAS Defendant Hartford noticed a Motion to Dismiss and a Motion for Summary					
11	Judgment for December 22, 2006, at 10:00 AM;					
12	WHEREAS some Defendants have indicated that they will provide information to					
13	Plaintiff by December 18, 2006 which may suggest that Plaintiff should, depending on the					
14	content of that information, amend the complaint to bring concurrent state law claims against an					
15	additional defendant, as well as address Defendant Hartford's contention in its Motion for					
16	Summary Judgment that the incorrect Hartford entity was named, pursuant to the Declaration of					
17	Jeffery P. Apuzzo filed in support of Hartford's Motion for Summary Judgment;					
18	NOW, THEREFORE, the parties to this action, by and through their undersigned					
19	attorneys, hereby stipulate and respectfully request the Court to order as follows:					
20	1. Plaintiff David Caplan will file a First Amended Complaint by December 29,					
21	2006.					
22	2. All Defendants who have appeared in this action will have 10 days after service of					
23	the First Amended Complaint to file a responsive pleading, pursuant to					
24	Fed.R.Civ.P. 15(a).					
25	3. Specifically, Defendants the Plan and Continental, whose answer was previously					
26	due by November 27, 2006, will have an extension to file a responsive pleading					
27	until 10 days after service of the First Amended Complaint, pursuant to					
28						
	Stipulation and [Proposed] Order to Permit Plaintiff to File an Amended Complaint					

Page 3

AND TO CONTINUE DATES (Case No. 06-05865 CW)

Fed.R.Civ.P. 15(a).

- 4. If any new defendants are named in the First Amended Complaint, those defendants will have 20 days following service to file a responsive pleading, pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 12(a)(1)(A).
- 5. If any new defendants named in the First Amended Complaint are represented by counsel who have already appeared in this action, those counsel will agree to accept service of the First Amended Complaint through their attorneys by facsimile and U.S. Mail, to waive the additional 40 days ordinarily allotted to defendants so served pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 12(a)(a)(B), and will instead file a responsive pleading within 20 days of service of the First Amended Complaint.
- 6. All previously scheduled court-ordered dates and noticed motion dates are continued as follows:

13	Original Date	<b>Event</b>	New Date
14	12/1/2006	Meet and Confer re: initial disclosures,	2/2/2007
15		early settlement, ADR process selection,	
16		and discovery plan	
17	12/1/2006	File ADR Certification signed by Parties	2/2/2007
18		and Counsel	
19	12/1/2006	File either Stipulation to ADR Process or Notice	2/2/2007
20		of Need for ADR Phone Conference	
21	12/1/2006	Last day for Plaintiff to file an Opposition to	2/2/2007
22		Defendant Hartford's Motion for Summary	
23		Judgment and Motion to Dismiss	
24	12/8/2006	Last day for Defendant Hartford to file a Reply	2/9/2007
25		Brief in Support of Hartford's Motion for	
26		Summary Judgment and Motion to Dismiss	
27	12/15/2006	Last day to complete initial disclosures or state	2/16/2007
28			

Stipulation and [Proposed] Order to Permit Plaintiff to File an Amended Complaint and to Continue Dates (Case No. 06-05865 CW) Page 4

	Cas	se 4:06-cv-05865-CW	Documen	t 19	Filed 11/28/06	Page 5 of 6				
1	objection in Rule 26(f) Report, file Case									
2	Management Statement, and file Rule 26(f) Report									
3	12/22/2006 Initial Case Management Conf			onfere	ence in	2/23/2007, 10:00 AM				
4	Courtroom 2, Oakland at 1:30 PM;									
5	12/22/2006 Hearing on Defendant Hartford's Motion for			2/23/2007, 10:00 AM						
6	Summary Judgment at 10:00 AM									
7	12/22/2006 Hearing on Defendant Hartford's Motion to				2/23/2007, 10:00 AM					
8	Dismiss at 10:00 AM									
9	7.	The February 23, 2007 C	Case Manag	gemen	t Conference will	be held immediately				
10		after the 10:00 AM heari	ing on Defe	endant	ts' motions, rather	than at 1:30 PM that				
11		same day.								
12										
13	Dated: November 21, 2006			LE'	LEWIS, FEINBERG, RENAKER & JACKSON P.C.					
14			By:	/S		.501(1.6.				
15			27.	Cas	sie Springer-Sulli orneys for Plaintif					
16	Dated: Nover	mber 21, 2006			SEDGWICK, DETERT, MORAN &					
17	Dated: November 21, 2006				ARNOLD LLP					
18			By:	/S/ Der	/ nnis G. Rolstad					
19				Atte	orneys for Defend	ant ervices Group, Inc.				
20	Dated: Nover	mber 21, 2006			LTON & HELM	17				
21		,	By:	/S	/					
22			·	Atte	chael B. Bernacch orneys for Defend	ants				
23					A Integrated Disantinental Assuranc	bility Program Plan and ce Company				
24	PURSUANT	TO STIPULATION, IT IS	S SO ORDI	ERED						
25	11/28			1	Chedial	e)H				
	Dated:, 2006				Honorable Claudia Wilken					
27				Uni	ted States Distric	t Court				
28	CTIDLU ATION	AND [PROPOSED] ORDER T	ro Denvir	Dran	ITIEE TO EU E AN /	MENDED COMBIANT				

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO PERMIT PLAINTIFF TO FILE AN AMENDED COMPLAINT AND TO CONTINUE DATES (Case No. 06-05865 CW) PAGE 5

## Case 4:06-cv-05865-CW Document 19 Filed 11/28/06 Page 6 of 6 I hereby attest that I have on file all holograph signatures for any signatures indicated by a "conformed" signature (/S/) within this efiled document. /S/ Cassie Springer-Sullivan Attorneys for Plaintiff STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO PERMIT PLAINTIFF TO FILE AN AMENDED COMPLAINT AND TO CONTINUE DATES (Case No. 06-05865 CW) Page 6